A Comparative Study to develop calcium, zinc and antioxidant rich drinking yoghurts using plant and pharmaceutical sources

R.M.J.N.Samarathunga^{1*}, M.A.Jayasinghe¹, M.P.Edirisinghe², I. Wijesekara¹, P.De.A. Abeysundara¹, S.P.A.S. Senadheera³ ¹Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Gangodawila, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka. ²*MILCO* (*Pvt*) *Ltd*,*No*.45,*Nawala road*, *Narahenpita*,*Colombo* 05,*Sri Lanka* ³Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine and Allied Sciences, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Saliyapura, Sri Lanka.

ABSTRACT

In this study, the effect of fortification of aqueous extracts of *Moringa oleifera* dried leaf powder and Phyllanthus emblica fresh fruit as plant ingredients and calcium carbonate and zinc aspartate from Unizink[®] 50 capsule as pharmaceutical ingredients in drinking yoghurts was investigated. The aim was to manufacture drinking yoghurts, which fulfill 1/3, 1/2 and 2/3 of Recommended Dietary Intake of calcium and zinc in humans and enrich with antioxidants. Mineral analysis and sensory evaluations of the products were carried out to select the best product from both plant and pharmaceutical product series. As analysed, calcium content of *Moringa* leaf extract prepared from unblanched leaf powder (25.27±0.25mg/ml) was higher than from blanched leaf powder (17.14±0.01mg/ml). In the mineral analysis, calcium contents of the drinking yoghurts analysed using wet ashing method were comparatively higher than the values obtained from dry ashing method. Sensory evaluation provided that drinking yoghurt fortified with plant ingredients at 50% RDI of calcium was the best sample (p<0.05). The total phenolic content of the best product was 3.17 mg GAE/g and ascorbic acid content was 55.5mg/100g. The DPPH radical scavenging activity (Antioxidant activity) was higher in plant ingredient fortified drinking yoghurts than with pharmaceutical ingredients (p<0.05). During the shelf life evaluation, there was no significant difference in total plate count of both fortified drinking yoghurt categories compared to the plain drinking yoghurt (control) but drinking yoghurt fortified with pharmaceutical sources showed higher pH values than the plain and plant ingredient fortified drinking yoghurts. Whey syneresis was higher in drinking yoghurts fortified with plant extracts and the viscosity decreased with the incorporation of plant extracts. The above plant ingredients can be successfully fortified at the level of 50% RDI of calcium while pharmaceutical ingredients at 33% RDI of calcium and zinc in drinking yoghurts with best sensory characteristics.

GENERAL OBJECTIVE

To develop drinking yoghurt for health conscious people and vegetarians, fortified with nutrients deficient in a typical vegetarian diet.

METHODOLOGIES

Methodology

food grade calcium carbonate were added.

- 1. Preparation of drinking yoghurts - As plant sources Moringa oleifera dried leaf powder extract and **Phyllanthus emblica fruit extract** were added. As pharmaceutical sources ; zinc aspartate from Unizink® 50 capsule and
 - Ca and Zn contents in plant extracts using wet ashing method (Kaya, Akdeniz and Yaman, 2008) with minor modifications.

- Ca, Zn, Na, K, Mg and Fe contents in products using wet ashing method (Kaya, Akdeniz and Yaman, 2008) with minor modifications.

Ca content in products using dry ashing method (AOAC 2003)

Description

3. Sensory evaluation	Descriptive analysis using semi
4. Total phenolic content (TPC)	Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent metho
5. Antioxidant activity	DPPH radical scavenging activity minor modifications.
6. Ascorbic acid content	Titrimetric method of 2, 6 dichlo Method 967.21)
7. Physico- chemical proper- ties	The total solid content, moistur 2005); protein content using kje content using Gerber method (H
8. Shelf life evaluation	Organoleptic properties, pH (p acidity (AOAC 1990) were teste shelf life. The syneresis was de fuge method described in Hassa
9. Microbiological evaluation	The count of coliform (ISO 483 and mould (ISO 6611:2004) and
10. Statistical evaluation	MINITAB 17 statistical software

ESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Mineral composition of final products (1 cup = 170g of drinking yoghurt)

Sample	Са	Zn	Mg	Fe	Na	К
	mg/ cup + SD	mg/ cup + SD	mg/ cup + SD	mg/ cup+ SD	mg/ cun+ SD	mg/ cun+ SD
P33%	311.50 <u>+</u> 0.04	3.04 <u>+</u> 0.05	182.69 <u>+</u> 0.69	6.38 <u>+</u> 0.09	180.74 <u>+</u> 0.40	255.55 <u>+</u> 1.75
P50%	451.65 <u>+</u> 0.66	4.95 <u>+</u> 0.00	266.58 <u>+</u> 0.89	10.09 <u>+</u> 0.03	217.67 <u>+</u> 0.76	236.83 <u>+</u> 0.22
P66%	630.82 <u>+</u> 1.40	5.36 <u>+</u> 0.34	431.18 <u>+</u> 0.72	12.72 <u>+</u> 0.31	234.61 <u>+</u> 0.12	229.77 <u>+</u> 2.21
Control	129.75 <u>+</u> 0.71	0.43 <u>+</u> 0.00	181.13 <u>+</u> 0.42	3.41 <u>+</u> 0.07	172.21 <u>+</u> 0.14	198.25 <u>+</u> 1.20
M 33%	283.64 <u>+</u> 0.11	0.89 <u>+</u> 0.00	181.31 <u>+</u> 0.62	3.54 <u>+</u> 0.07	125.35 <u>+</u> 0.43	217.42 <u>+</u> 5.81
M 50%	434.99 <u>+</u> 3.36	1.56 <u>+</u> 0.00	183.38 <u>+</u> 0.17	5.64 <u>+</u> 0.28	170.40 <u>+</u> 0.24	204.62 <u>+</u> 0.83
M 66%	514.45 <u>+</u> 1.28	2.17 <u>+</u> 0.06	186.14 <u>+</u> 0.81	8.68 <u>+</u> 0.21	169.75 <u>+</u> 2.22	210.48 <u>+</u> 0.05

(Mean values of n=3 ± Standard Deviation. P33%, P50% and P66% in the table denote the pharmaceutical ingredients added drinking yoghurts made fortified with 33%, 50% and 66% RDI levels of calcium and zinc respectively. 'Control' indicates the plain drinking yoghurt. M 33%, M 50% and M 66% denote drinking yoghurts fortified with plant extracts at 33%, 50% and 66% RDI levels of calcium respectively).

Description

2. Mineral analysis

ABD 28

Method

ni trained and trained panelists.

thod (Ainsworth and Gillespie, 2007)

vity (McCue and Shetty, 2005) with

hlorophenol indophenol dye (AOAC

ture content and ash content (AOAC kjeldhal method (AOAC 2000); fat (Kleyn *et al.,* 2001)

(pH meter, Eutech 510), titratable sted within intervals throughout the determined according to the centrissan *et al.,* 2015.

831:2006) using MPN method, yeast nd total plate count (ISO 4833:2003)

According to the sensory evaluation, M50% was selected as the best sample. M 50% sample which was selected as the best product in the sensory analysis, had increased the TPC from 1.34 mg GAE/g to 3.17mg GAE/g. Consumption of one cup (170mL) of M50% drinking yoghurt, would provide 538.9mg of GAE (Gallic acid equivalents).

						_
NA 3 394	M 50%	M 66%	Control	P 33%	P 50%	P 66%

Figure 1: Antioxidant activity

Figure 3: Titratable acidity



Figure 4: pH

Sample	Ascorbic acid con-	
	tent mg/100g	
Phyllanthus em-	66.60 <u>+</u> 0.00	
blica fruit extract		
<i>Moringa oleifera</i> leaf	16.65 <u>+</u> 0.00	
extract		
Control	5.55 <u>+</u> 0.00	
M50%	55.50 <u>+</u> 0.00	

Figure 2: Colour change In plant extracts compared to the control when react with DPPH reagent



Figure 5: Syneresis

Constituents%	M 50% RDI	Control
Fat	2.20 <u>+</u> 0.03	2.5 <u>+</u> 0.00
Protein	4.68 <u>+</u> 0.00	3.58 <u>+</u> 0.02
Moisture	87.14 <u>+</u> 0.07	79.56 <u>+</u> 0.03
Ash	1.04 <u>+</u> 0.01	0.57 <u>+</u> 0.00
Total Solid content	18.54 <u>+</u> 0.01	20.30 <u>+</u> 0.01
Total soluble solid	17.00 <u>+</u> 0.00	18.00 <u>+</u> 0.00
content		

Figure 6: Ascorbic acid content

Figure 7: Proximate composition of the best product compared to the control drinking yoghurt

CONCLUSION

The above plant ingredients can be successfully fortified at the level of 50% RDI of calcium while pharmaceutical ingredients at 33% RDI of calcium and zinc in drinking yoghurts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT



We are extremely grateful to Dr. Asitha Cooray and all staff members of the Instrument centre at Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka; for providing necessary facilities. We are also thankful to Dr. R.A.U.J. Marapana and Dr. Rupika Perera and Mrs. Hasanthika Sandarenu at the Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Applied Sciences – USJP, Sri Lanka; for their technical support. We express our sincere gratitude for the staff at MILCO Lanka (Pvt) Ltd as well, for their facilitation.

