

Interpretation of Potential field data using

1111 1

Backus and Gilbert Inversion Technique

### by

# YapaArachchilageGayaniSumunduniYapa





M.Phil

2013

207917

Interpretation of Potential field datausing

Backus and Gilbert Inversion Technique

by

YapaArachchilageGayaniSumunduniYapa

Thesis submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for the award of the Degree of Master of Philosophy in Mathematical Physics on 2013. "The work described in this thesis was carried out by me under the supervision of Professor D.A.Tantrigoda and Professor Arjuna de Zoysa and a report on this has not been submitted in whole or in part to any University or any other institution for another Degree/Diploma".

Gyr

Signature of the candidate

19.12.2013

Date

"We certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true and that this thesis is suitable for submission to the University for the purpose of evaluation".

Prof. D.A. Tantrigoda Internal Supervisor

Prof. Arjuna de Zoysa

External Supervisor

19.12.200

Date

20.12.2013

Date

I certify that the candidate has incorporated all corrections, additions and amendments recommended by the examiners.

6 Jahrigol -

Prof. D.A. Tantrigoda Internal Supervisor

.

4

### **Table of Contents**

Table of Contentsi
List of Figuresiv
List of Tablesix
List of Appendicesx
Acknowledgementsxi
Abstractxii
CHAPTER 11
Introduction1
1.1. Introduction1
1.2. Gravitation
1.3. Gravity Modeling
1.4. Description of Some Important Terminology4
1.4.1. Forward problem4
1.4.2. Inverse problem
1.5. Objectives of the study5
1.6. Structure of the thesis
CHAPTER 28
Literature Review
2.1. Introduction
2.2. Linear Inverse problems: The discrete case10
2.3. Existence, uniqueness and stability of the inverse solution
2.4. Types of inverse problems13
2.4.1. Even determined system
2.4.2. Over determined system

<ul><li>2.4.4. Mixed determined system</li></ul>	21
2.4.5. Singular value decomposition	
	3
2.4.5.1. Properties of the generalized inverse2	
2.5. The data resolution and model resolution matrices	5
CHAPTER 3	:7
Backus and Gilbert Method	.7
3.1. Introduction	
3.1.1. Introduction of derivation of the Backus and Gilbert method	0
3.1.2. Application of the Backus and Gilbert technique for gravity inversions3	2
3.2. Averaging Kernel	6
3.2.1. Detailed derivation of Averaging Kernel	8
CHAPTER 44	2
Application of Backus and Gilbert Method for Gravity Interpretation4	ł2
4.1. Linear Gravity Models4	
4.2. Modeling of bodies with single density4	
4.3. Modeling of bodies with density vary with depth closest to a given	
relationship	15
4.4. Modeling of gravity anomalies in terms of bodies having density vary with	
depth in terms of local averages using constructing averaging kernels	17
CHAPTER 5	19
Investigation of possibility of modeling Gravity Anomalies in terms of bodies with	
density varies with depth using the Backus and Gilbert Method	49
5.1. Introduction	
5.2. Modeling of bodies with a single known density	
5.2.1. Modeling of gravity anomalies due to sedimentary basins in terms of	
bodies with constant density	51

5.2.	2. Modeling of gravity anomalies due to igneous intrusions in terms of
bod	ies with constant density
5.3.	Modeling of bodies with density contrast varying with depth according to a
know	n linear function64
5.3.	1. Modeling of gravity anomalies caused by sedimentary basins with
den	sities increases with depth
5.3.	2. Modeling of gravity anomalies caused by igneous intrusions with densities
inci	reases with depth74
5.4.	Modeling of gravity anomalies in terms of bodies (sedimentary basins) with
densit	ty contrast varies with depth in terms of local averages constructing averaging
kerne	ls82
5.5.	Modeling of gravity anomalies in terms of bodies (igneous intrusions) with
densit	ty contrast varies with depth in terms of local averages constructing averaging
kerne	ls
5.6.	Modeling of gravity anomalies over a sedimentary basin having a horizontal
igneo	us layer intruded into it
5 <i>.</i> 7.	Modeling of vertical density distribution in a normal geological fault
5.8.	Modeling of Real Data104
СНАРТ	ER 6
Conclus	sions and Discussion
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Conclusions
0.2	
REFER	ENCES112
APPEN	DICES

### List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Connecting link between a data and the corresponding model space in a
forward and an inverse problem8
Figure 2-2: The graphical representation of an estimation, an appraisal and forward
problem9
Figure 2-3: Illustration of Least Squares method using vector spaces
Figure 2-4: Illustration of $U_p$ , $V_p$ , $U_0$ and $V_0$
Figure 4-1: Linear model for inversion of gravity anomalies
Figure 4-2: Linear model for inversion of gravity anomalies
Figure 4-3: Division of a body to calculate its gravity anomaly44
Figure 4-4: Graphical representation of assumed body46
Figure 4-5: The model consisting of 25 strips48
Figure 5-1: The shape of the body (collection of cells in green) used for generation of
synthetic data which were later used as observed data52
Figure 5-2: The shape of the initial trial body of the iteration process
Figure 5-3: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)53
Figure 5-4: Gravity model obtained after the second iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)53
Figure 5-5: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)54
Figure 5-6: Gravity model obtained after the forth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)54
Figure 5-7: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)55
Figure 5-8: The observed gravity anomaly with 3% error
Figure 5-9 Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)
Figure 5-10: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)

Figure 5-11: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)
Figure 5-12: The shape of the body (collection of cells in green) used for generation of
synthetic data which were later used as observed data
Figure 5-13: The shape of the initial trial body of the iteration process
Figure 5-14: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)60
Figure 5-15: Gravity model obtained after the second iteration and the gravity anomaly
it produces (blue dashed line)60
Figure 5-16: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)61
Figure 5-17: Gravity model obtained after the fourth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)61
Figure 5-18: The observed gravity anomaly with 2% error
Figure 5-19: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)63
Figure 5-20: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)63
Figure 5-21: Gravity model obtained after the sixth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)64
Figure 5-22: The shape of the body (collection of 5 strips) used for generation of
synthetic data which were later used as observed data67
Figure 5-23: The shape of the initial trial body of the iteration process
Figure 5-24: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)68
Figure 5-25: Gravity model obtained after the second iteration and the gravity anomaly
it produces (blue dashed line)69
Figure 5-26: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)69
Figure 5-27: Gravity model obtained after the fourth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)70

Figure 5-28: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)70
Figure 5-29: The model divided into 25 strips71
Figure 5-30: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers (red line)71
Figure 5-31: The observed gravity anomaly with 2% error
Figure 5-32: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)73
Figure 5-33: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)73
Figure 5-34: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers after adding error (red line)74
Figure 5-35: The shape of the body (collection of 5 strips) used for generation of
synthetic data which were later used as observed data76
Figure 5-36: The shape of the initial trial body of the iteration process
Figure 5-37: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)77
Figure 5-38: Gravity model obtained after the second iteration and the gravity anomaly
it produces (blue dashed line)
Figure 5-39: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)78
Figure 5-40: Gravity model obtained after the fourth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)78
Figure 5-41: The model divided into 25 strips79
Figure 5-42: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers (red line)
Figure 5-43: The observed generated gravity anomaly with 2% error
Figure 5-44: Gravity model obtained after the second iteration and the gravity anomaly
it produces (blue dashed line)
Figure 5-45: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)81

Figure 5-46: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers after adding error (red line)
Figure 5-47: The shape of the body (collection of 5 strips) used for generation of
synthetic data which were later used as observed data
Figure 5-48: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)84
Figure 5-49: The density contrast variation between the assumed 25 layers (blue line)
and calculated 25 layers (red line)
Figure 5-50: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers after adding error (red line)
Figure 5-51: The shape of the body (collection of 5 strips) used for generation of
synthetic data which were later used as observed data
Figure 5-52: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)
Figure 5-53: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers (red line)
Figure 5-54: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers after adding 2% error (red line)91
Figure 5-55: The shape of the body (collection of 5 strips) used for generation of
synthetic data which were later used as observed data93
Figure 5-56: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)95
Figure 5-57: Gravity model obtained after the fourth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)95
Figure 5-58: Gravity model obtained after the sixth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)96
Figure 5-59: The model divided into 22 strips96
Figure 5-60: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 22 layers (red line)97
Figure 5-61: The model divided into 30 strips97

Figure 5-62: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers (red line)
Figure 5-63: The model divided into 30 strips
Figure 5-64: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 30 layers (red line)99
Figure 5-65: The shape of the body (collection of 2D semi-infinite slabs) and the gravity
anomaly it produces
Figure 5-66: The shape of the initial trial body of the iteration process
Figure 5-67: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)102
Figure 5-68: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)102
Figure 5-69: The model divided into 25 strips103
Figure 5-70: The density contrast variation between the assumed 5 layers (blue line) and
calculated 25 layers (red line)
Figure 5-71: Derived observed gravity anomaly from $c - c'$ cross section
Figure 5-72: The shape of the initial trial body of the iteration process105
Figure 5-73: Gravity model obtained after the first iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)105
Figure 5-74: Gravity model obtained after the second iteration and the gravity anomaly
it produces (blue dashed line)
Figure 5-75: Gravity model obtained after the third iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)106
Figure 5-76: Gravity model obtained after the fifth iteration and the gravity anomaly it
produces (blue dashed line)107
Figure 5-77: Comparison between (a) computed model and (b) model produced by
Tantrigoda and Geekiyanage (1991)107
Figure 5-78: The model divided into 24 strips108
Figure 5-79: The density contrast variation between the assumed 24 layers (blue line)
and calculated 24 layers (red line)

### List of Tables

Table 5-1: The calculated densities of 25 strips	71
Table 5-2: The calculated densities of 25 strips after adding 2% error	74
Table 5-3: The calculated densities of 25 strips	79
Table 5-4: The calculated densities of 25 strips after adding 2% error	82
Table 5-5: The assumed and calculated density values of each strip	85
Table 5-6: The assumed and calculated density values of each strip with 2% error	86
Table 5-7: The assumed and calculated density values of 25 strips	89
Table 5-8: The assumed and calculated densities of each strip with 2% error	90
Table 5-9: The calculated densities of each strip	97
Table 5-10: The calculated densities of 30 strips	98
Table 5-11: The calculated densities of each strip	99
Table 5-12: The calculated density values of each semi infinite slab	103
Table 5-13: The calculated densities of 24 strips	108

# List of Appendices

Appendix 1 - Derivation of the expressions	.115
Appendix 2 - Functional spaces of geophysical data and model	. 124
Appendix 3 - Derivation of averaging kernel	. 131
Appendix 4 - Averaging kernels	. 136
Appendix 5 - Computer program for construction of single density bodies	. 145
Appendix 6 - Computer program for construction of bodies with density increases w	vith
depth using averaging kernels	. 153
Appendix 7 - Computer program for construction of bodies (geological fault) with	
density increases with depth	.155
Appendix 8 - Computer program for construction of averaging kernels for bodies	
(geological fault)	. 157

## List of Appendices

Appendix 1 - Derivation of the expressions11	5
Appendix 2 - Functional spaces of geophysical data and model12	24
Appendix 3 - Derivation of averaging kernel13	31
Appendix 4 - Averaging kernels	36
Appendix 5 - Computer program for construction of single density bodies14	15
Appendix 6 - Computer program for construction of bodies with density increases with	ì
depth using averaging kernels15	53
Appendix 7 - Computer program for construction of bodies (geological fault) with	
density increases with depth15	55
Appendix 8 - Computer program for construction of averaging kernels for bodies	
(geological fault)15	57

#### Acknowledgements

I take this opportunity to express my heartfelt gratitude and deep regards to my supervisor and mentor Professor D.A. Tantrigoda, Chair and Senior Professor of Physics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura for his perfect guidance, supervision and constant encouragement throughout the Project. The blessings help and guidance given by him when needed shall indeed carry me a long way in my future endeavors.

I would also like to thank Professor Arjuna de Zoysa for the assistance and encouragement given and also take this opportunity to express a deep sense of gratitude to Mr. Dileepa Witharana, Head of the department of mathematics and philosophy of Engineering, the Open University of Sri Lanka for his cordial support, valuable information and guidance, which helped me in completing this task through various stages.

I am obliged to staff members of University of Sri Jayewardenepura, for the valuable information provided by them in their respective fields. I am grateful for their cooperation during the period of my assignment.

## Interpretation of potential field data using Backus and Gilbert Inversion Technique

by

#### YapaArachchilageGayaniSumunduniYapa

#### ABSTRACT

The main objective of this study is to test the hypothesis that gravity anomalies could be modeled in terms of bodies having density that vary with depth using the Backus and Gilbert inversion method. The hypothesis was tested using a series of numerical experiments involving calculation of the gravity anomaly due to model geological structures of known dimensions and known vertical density distributions and then modeling them using the Backus and Gilbert method. This in fact is a problem in the linear inverse theory and such problems are ill posed in general. To overcome this difficulty the Singular Value Decomposition method has been successfully adopted.

The study was conducted with simulated data and to make them resemble real observations, a certain amount of random errors were added. To recover the assumed structure of the causative body, "weighted distance" minimization was applied at the latter stages of the inversion process. The method presented in this study can be used successfully to model gravity anomalies caused by;

(1) Common geological structures (sedimentary basins, igneous intrusions and geological faults) with densities that vary with depth closest to a given relationship and

xii

(2) A sedimentary basin of constant density having a high dense igneous layer intruded into it. Density variations with depth have been expressed in terms of local averages using averaging kernels.

This method may have useful applications in the oil and gas exploration work especially in view of its ability to identify thin igneous layers intruded in to sedimentarybasins.