

**A STATISTICAL STUDY OF
MATHEMATICS RESULTS OF G.C.E
(O/L) EXAMINATION**

by

Hondaarachchi Patabendige Thanuja Nilanthi Silva

Thesis submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura
for the award of the Master of Science in Applied Statistics
on 30th September 2009

Declaration

The work described in this thesis was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr.B.M.S.G.Banneheka and a report on this has not been submitted in whole or in part to any university or any other institution for another Degree/Diploma.

H.P.Thanuja

.....
H.P.Thanuja Nilanthi Silva

Reg.No : GS/M.Sc./Ap.St/2737/06

Index No : PGD/PS/105

Declaration

I certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true and that this thesis is suitable for submission to the University for the purpose of evaluation.

Banneheka 20/09/09

Dr.B.M.S.G.Banneheka (Supervisor)

Head of department,

Department of Statistics and Computer Science,

University of Sri Jayewardenepura

Acknowledgement

I write these sincere words with a deep sense of gratitude to all those who have assisted me in many ways to complete my research.

First I am grateful to my supervisor Dr.B.M.S.G.Banneheka, course – coordinator, PGS/M.Sc. in applied statistics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, for his valuable support extended to me in order to carry out this research and co-operation throughout the preparation of this thesis.

My special thanks should go to all the principals, vice principals and all the teachers who were teaching mathematics in the selected schools for giving permission and helping me to collect necessary data.

I would like to thank Ms. Gayaththri Abeyesinghe, Research officer, Research and Development branch, National Evaluation and Testing Service, Department of Examinations for helping me by providing some relevant information.

Further I should convey kind regards to my friends Duminda, Nirusha, Nalin, Dilini, Sugath Aiya and Anusha for the help given to make my project a success.

Finally I wish to express my indebtedness and heart full gratitude to my loving parents and husband for all the help given to me in completing my project successfully.

Abstract

General Certificate of Education (Ordinary Level) examination (G.C.E (O/L)) is the most important first public certificate examination in Sri Lanka. A large number of students sit for the G.C.E (O/L) examination every year. Unfortunately, more than half of the students who sit for this examination 'fail' the exam due to their failure in mathematics. It is very important to pay more attention to this serious problem and take necessary actions to overcome this problem immediately.

This study was mainly focused on the exploration of the performance of students in various areas in mathematics at the G.C.E (O/L) examination. The distributions of marks among various sections like arithmetic, algebra, sets and probabilities, statistics, geometry and mensuration were compared. It was found that a significant percentage of students did not have sufficient knowledge about the main mathematical operations. Mensuration was found to be the most difficult area and geometry was the next difficult area for most of the students. More than 75% of the students had not selected the questions of geometry.

The data obtained from a sample of students were analyzed to identify the factors that affect the variation in mathematics results. Since there were about 20 factors of interest, ANOVA technique was applied at 0.2 level of significance to screen out the unimportant factors. A regression model was fitted taking the marks as the response variable and the factors identified from the ANOVA as the explanatory variables. The level of involvements in school exercise, and tuition exercise, the interest in mathematics, the

level of education of the father, the level of help getting from the family, were identified as significant factors. The level of involvement in school exercises was found to be the most influential factor on the mathematics results.

Abbreviations

G.C.E (O/L) – General Certificate of Education (Ordinary Level) examination

G.C.E (A/L) – General Certificate of Education (Advanced Level) examination

NEC – National Educational Commission

NIE – National Institute of Education

% - Percentage

Q1 – First Quartile

Q3 – Third Quartile

Ho – Null Hypothesis

H₁ - Alternative Hypothesis

Contents

Acknowledgement	i
Abstract	ii
Abbreviations	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of tables	viii
List of figures	xii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Formation of the G.C.E (O/L) examination	2
1.3 Significance of the study	5
1.4 Research Problem	8
1.5 Objectives of the study	8
1.6 Organization of the Report	9
Chapter 2 Literature Review	10
2.1 Researches related to the factors that affect the result	10
2.2 Researches related to the different areas in mathematics	14

Chapter 3	Methodology	17
3.1	Design of the study	17
3.2	Study Population	17
3.3	Types of schools according to the educational divisions	19
3.4	Selection of the sample	20
3.5	The structure of the questionnaire	21
3.6	Statistical techniques used in the analysis	23
3.7	Software used in the analysis	24
3.8	Limitation of the study	24
Chapter 4	Descriptive Analysis of Marks	25
4.1	Composition of the sample	25
4.2	Structure of the paper	27
4.3	Marks allocation for the papers	29
4.4	Descriptive analysis of marks of paper-I	33
4.5	Selection of questions	36
4.6	Performance of students in six different areas	38
	4.6.1 Arithmetic	38
	4.6.2 Algebra	41
	4.6.3 Geometry	44
	4.5.4 Mensuration	48
	4.6.5 Statistics	50
	4.6.6 Sets and probability	52

Chapter 5 Factors Identification	57
5.1 Composition of the sample	57
5.2 Selection of factors that affect the result in mathematics	65
5.3 Model Adequacy checking	75
5.4 Descriptive analysis of two factor interactions	77
5.5 Descriptive analysis of main effects	92
5.6 A suitable statistical model for mathematics result	93
Chapter 6 Conclusions and Suggestions	101
6.1 Conclusions	101
6.2 Suggestions and Recommendations	110
6.3 Discussion	113
References	114
Appendixes	115
Appendix A : Questionnaires	115
Appendix B : Test Papers (I and II)	117
Appendix C : Codes and Stepwise Regression	120
Appendix D : Selected Schools	124

List of tables

Table 1.1	Failure percentages of mathematics in 2001 to 2006	4
Table 1.2	Frequency and cumulative frequency distribution of mathematics marks -2005	6
Table 1.3	Frequency and cumulative frequency distribution of mathematics marks -2006	7
Table 3.1	Schools according to educational divisions	19
Table 3.2	Selection of the schools	20
Table 4.1	Composition of the sample by educational divisions	25
Table 4.2	Composition of the sample by school type	26
Table 4.3	Mark allocation for paper – I	29
Table 4.4	Descriptive statistics of the marks allocation for paper –I	30
Table 4.5	Mark allocation for paper – II	30
Table 4.6	Descriptive statistics of the marks allocation for paper –II	31
Table 4.7	Descriptive statistics of the marks allocation for paper –II	33
Table 4.8	Breakdown of the marks of paper –I	34
Table 4.9	Selection of questions	36
Table 4.10	Breakdown of the marks of section ‘a’ of question 01	38
Table 4.11	Descriptive statistics of marks of section ‘a’ of question 01	38
Table 4.12	Breakdown of the marks of section ‘b’ of question 02	39
Table 4.13	Descriptive statistics of marks of section ‘b’ of question 02	39
Table 4.14	Breakdown of the marks of question 05	39
Table 4.15	Descriptive statistics of question 05	40

Table 4.16	Descriptive statistics of section arithmetic	40
Table 4.17	Breakdown of the marks of section 'c' of question 01	41
Table 4.18	Descriptive statistics of marks of section 'c' of question 01	41
Table 4.19	Breakdown of the marks of section 'a' of question 02	42
Table 4.20	Descriptive statistics of marks of section 'a' of question 02	42
Table 4.21	Breakdown of the marks of question 04	42
Table 4.22	Descriptive statistics of question 04	43
Table 4.23	Descriptive statistics of section algebra	44
Table 4.24	Breakdown of the marks of section 'b' of question 01	44
Table 4.25	Breakdown of the marks of section 'f' of question 01	44
Table 4.26	Descriptive statistics of marks of section 'b' and 'f' of question 01	45
Table 4.27	Breakdown of the marks of question 03	45
Table 4.28	Descriptive statistics of question 03	46
Table 4.29	Breakdown of the marks of question 09	46
Table 4.30	Descriptive statistics of question 09	46
Table 4.31	Descriptive statistics of section geometry	47
Table 4.32	Descriptive statistics of section geometry except geometry constructions	47
Table 4.33	Breakdown of the marks of question 07	48
Table 4.34	Descriptive statistics of question 07	48
Table 4.35	Breakdown of the marks of question 08	49
Table 4.36	Descriptive statistics of question 08	49
Table 4.37	Descriptive statistics of section mensuration	50
Table 4.38	Breakdown of the marks of section 'e' of question 01	50

Table 4.39	Descriptive statistics of marks of section 'e' of question 01	50
Table 4.40	Breakdown of the marks of question 06	51
Table 4.41	Descriptive statistics of question 06	51
Table 4.42	Descriptive statistics of section statistics	52
Table 4.43	Breakdown of the marks of section 'd' of question 01	53
Table 4.44	Descriptive statistics of marks of section 'd' of question 01	53
Table 4.45	Breakdown of the marks of question 10	53
Table 4.46	Descriptive statistics of question 10	54
Table 4.47	Descriptive statistics of section sets and probabilities	54
Table 4.48	Descriptive statistics of six sections	55
Table 5.1	Composition of the sample by students' involvement in school exercises	59
Table 5.2	Composition of the sample by school attendance	59
Table 5.3	Composition of the sample by number of tuition classes attended	60
Table 5.4	Composition of the sample by students' involvement in tuition exercises	61
Table 5.5	Composition of the sample by the types of extracurricular activities	61
Table 5.6	Descriptive statistics of mathematics marks	64
Table 5.7	ANOVA with all tested factors	66
Table 5.8	ANOVA with some significant factors	71
Table 5.9	ANOVA with significant factors	73
Table 5.10	ANOVA with all significant factors	74
Table 5.11	Means of interaction effect (Interest * Type of school)	77

Table 5.12	Means of interaction effect (Working time at home*Time spend for tuition)	78
Table 5.13	Means of interaction effect (Gender*Mother employment status)	80
Table 5.14	Means of interaction effect (Gender *Time table)	81
Table 5.15	Means of interaction effect (Mother employment status*Level of tuition exercises)	83
Table 5.16	Means of interaction effect (Working time at home * tuition exercises)	84
Table 5.17	Means of interaction effect (Level of father education*Mother employment status)	86
Table 5.18	Means of interaction effect (Level of monthly Income *Mother employment status)	87
Table 5.19	Means of interaction effect (Level of father education * Working time at home)	89
Table 5.20	Means of interaction effect (Parental Involvement * Getting help from the siblings)	90
Table 5.21	Means of main effect –School exercises	92

List of figures

Figure 1.1	Failure percentages of mathematics in 2001 to 2006	4
Figure 1.2	Performance of mathematics based on grades – 2005	6
Figure 1.3	Performance of mathematics based on grades – 2006	7
Figure 3.1	Educational zones in Colombo district	17
Figure 3.2	Educational divisions in Piliyandala educational zone	18
Figure 4.1	Composition of the sample by educational divisions	25
Figure 4.2	Composition of the sample by school type	26
Figure 4.3	Distribution of marks of paper – I	33
Figure 4.4	Selection of questions	36
Figure 4.5	Histogram of marks (Arithmetic)	40
Figure 4.6	Histogram of marks (Algebra)	43
Figure 4.7	Histogram of marks (Geometry)	47
Figure 4.8	Histogram of marks (Mensuration)	49
Figure 4.9	Histogram of marks (Statistics)	52
Figure 4.10	Histogram of marks (Sets and Probability)	54
Figure 5.1	Composition of the sample by educational divisions	57
Figure 5.2	Composition of the sample by school type	58
Figure 5.3	Composition of the sample by gender	58
Figure 5.4	Composition of the sample by interest in mathematics	59