# **DETERMINATION OF WAGE RATE IN**

# THE LABOR MARKET IN SRI LANKA

by

# CHULAN LASANTHA KUKULE NAWARATHNA

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of requirement for the

Degree of Master of Science in Applied Statistics

Faculty of Graduate Studies

University of Sri Jayewardenepura

Nugegoda

Sri Lanka

2006

## DECLARATION

The work described in this thesis was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. W.A. Jayathissa, Senior professor of Social Statistics in the Department of Social Statistics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, and a report on this has not been submitted in whole or in part to any university or any other institution for Degree/Diploma.

Date: -29. 07. 2006

phusas:

C.L.K. Nawarathna PGDPS/079/2002

I certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true and this thesis is suitable for submission to the University for the purpose of evaluation.

Date: 29 07 2000

Whith:

Dr. W.A. Jayathissa, Senior Professor of Social Statistics, Department of Social Statistics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.

### ABSTRACT

In order to study the wage determination in the labor market in Sri Lanka, a sample was selected from the Sri Lanka labor force survey conducted by the Department of Census and Statistics in the year 2002. The dispersion of conditional wage distribution of the total sample, and the sub-samples are investigated by running quantile regressions of Mincerian wage equation with a view to addressing the link between schooling and rate of return to education and wage inequality. Furthermore, generalized linear gamma model fitted for the average of the conditional wage distribution.

In the Sri Lanka labor market, level of education is the most significant factor for wage determination and the substitutability of experience for education is low. In addition, the rate of returns to education increases over the wage distribution in an overwhelming majority of wage groups.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Several persons in different ways have assisted me at different times in the task of completing this study. First and foremost, Dr W.A. Jayathissa, Senior Professor of Social Statistics in the Department of Social Statistics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, as my supervisor, provided invaluable advice to complete this research project.

My heartfelt thank to Mr. D.P.S. Chandrakumara, Senior Lecturer of Economics in the Department of Economics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura for kind cooperation and invaluable advice given by him.

My grateful thank to Mr. S.A.C.S. Silva, Senior Lecturer of Social Statistics in the Department of Social Statistics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura for invaluable advice given by him.

I am also much thankful to Dr B.M.S.G. Banneheka, Course Coordinator, and Senior Lecturer of Statistics in the Department of Statistics and Computer Science, University of Sri Jayewardenepura for invaluable advice given by him.

My sincere thank goes to Mr. A.G.W. Nanayakkara, Director General, and Mr. A. Fernando, Director Sampling Surveys in the Department of Census and Statistics for giving me required data and information in regard.

I also extend my sincere gratification to the staff, who conducted the lectures during the M. Sc. course.

Finally, I express my great devotion to my wife Mangalika who assisted me in many ways in keeping me steady on the assignment to see the end, and parents for giving me inspiration in attaining my academic carrier.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| ABSTRACT  | i    |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT  | ii   |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS   | iv   |
| LIST OF FIGURES   | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES  | x    |
|   |      |
| Chapter 1 – INTRODUCTION  | 1    |
| 1.1 Background of the Study                                     | 1    |
| 1.2 Research Problem  | 3    |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Study                                     | 4    |
| 1.4 Hypotheses  | 4    |
| 1.5 Outline of the Dissertation                                 | 4    |
|   |      |
| Chapter 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW                                   | 6    |
| 2.1 Introduction  | 6    |
| 2.2 Human Capital and Earnings Distribution in the Early Period | 8    |
| 2.3 Effect of Labor Market Experience                           | 9    |
| 2.4 The Schooling-Earnings Function                             | 14   |
| 2.5 The Human Capital Earnings Function                         | 18   |
| 2.6 The Long-Term Impact  | 26   |

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Chapter 3 – METHODOLOGY                      |      |
| 3.1 Introduction                             | 30   |
| 3.2 The Sample Data                          | 31   |
| 3.2.1 Sample Design                          | 31   |
| 3.2.2 Selection of the Sample for This Study | 32   |
| 3.2.3 Other Sources of Data                  | 33   |
| 3.2.4 Variables                              | 33   |
| 3.3 Exploratory Analysis of the Sample       | 38   |
| 3.3.1 Continuous Data                        | 38   |
| 3.3.2 Categorical Data                       | 38   |
| 3.3.3 Categorizing the Continuous Data       | 38   |
| 3.4 The Mincerian Wage Regression Model      | 39   |
| 3.4.1 Assumptions of the Economic Model      | 39   |
| 3.4.2 The Earning-Schooling Connection       | 40   |
| 3.4.3 Post School Investment (Experience)    | 41   |
| 3.4.4 The Econometric Model                  | 44   |
| 3.5 Estimation Techniques                    | 45   |
| 3.5.1 Adequacy of Estimated Model            | 47   |
| 3.6 Generalized Linear Gamma Model           | 48   |
| 3.6.1 Distribution (Family)                  | 48   |
| 3.6.1 (i) Properties of Gamma Distribution   | 48   |

### Page

54

| 3.6.2 Link Function                                | 49 |
|--|----|
| 3.6.3 The Generalized Linear Model (Gamma Model)   | 49 |
| 3.6.4 Assumptions of the Model                     | 49 |
| 3.6.5 Method of Model Estimation                   | 49 |
| 3.6.6 Application of Statistical Software Packages | 49 |
| 3.6.7 Adequacy of a Model                          | 50 |
| 3.6.8 Assessing Goodness of Fit                    | 50 |
| 3.6.9 Comparing Two Competing Models               | 51 |
| 3.6.10 Testing Assumptions                         | 51 |
| 3.7 Testing Hypotheses                             | 52 |
| 3.7.1 Testing Hypothesis 1                         | 52 |
| 3.7.2 Testing Hypothesis 2                         | 53 |

### Chapter 4 – ANALYSIS

| 4.1 Introduction                       | 54 |
|--|----|
| 4.2 Exploratory Analysis of the Sample | 54 |
| 4.3 Mincerian Wage Regression          | 63 |
| 4.3.1 Rate of Return to Schooling      | 70 |
| 4.3.2 Role of Experience               | 81 |
| 4.3.4 Extended Mincer Model            | 82 |

| 4.4 Fitting a Regression Model for the Mean Monthly Wage | Page |
|--|------|
| of Employees   | 85   |
| 4.5 Testing Hypotheses                                   | 94   |
| 4.5.1 Testing Hypothesis 1                               | 94   |
| 4.5.2 Testing Hypothesis 2                               | 9    |
| Chapter 5 - DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS                   | 95   |
| 5.1 Discussion   | 95   |
| 5.2 Conclusions  | 100  |
| REFERENCE  | 101  |

# **APPENDIX A: STATA Outputs**

107

### LIST OF FIGURES

Page

| Figure 4.1 Descriptive Summary of Wage                         | 54 |
|--|----|
| Figure 4.2 Results of Estimated Mincer Model for               |    |
| Total Sample by OLS Method                                     | 64 |
| Figure 4.3 Residuals Plots of Estimated Model                  | 65 |
| Figure 4.4 Out Put of test for Normality                       | 66 |
| Figure 4.5 Out Put( STATA) of Simultaneous Quantile Regression | 67 |
| Figure 4.6 Estimated Coefficients of Schooling and Experience  | 69 |
| Figure 4.7 Returns to Schooling for the Total Sample Employees | 71 |
| Figure 4.8 Returns to Schooling by Working Sector              | 72 |
| Figure 4.9 Returns to Schooling by Gender                      | 73 |
| Figure 4.10 Returns to Schooling by Ethnic Group               | 74 |
| Figure 4.11 Returns to Schooling by Religion                   | 75 |
| Figure 4.12 Returns to Schooling by Province                   | 76 |
| Figure 4.13 Returns to Schooling by Living Sector              | 77 |
| Figure 4.14 Returns to Schooling by Occupational Group         | 78 |
| Figure 4.15 Returns to Schooling by Industrial Group           | 79 |
| Figure 4.16 Returns to Schooling by Wage Paying Basis          | 80 |
| Figure 4.17 Returns to Schooling by Training                   | 81 |
| Figure 4.18 Out Put (STATA) of the Extended                    |    |
| Mincer Model (Median Regression)                               | 82 |
| Figure 4.19 Descriptive Summary for Ln(Wage)                   | 86 |

| Figure 4.20  | Normal Probability Plot for Residuals on               |    |
|--------------|--|----|
|              | General Linear Multiple Regression                     | 87 |
| Figure 4.21  | Output (STATA) of the Fitted Model                     | 88 |
| Figure 4.22  | Normal Probability Plot for Anscombe Residuals         |    |
|              | on Generalized Linear Gamma Model                      | 89 |
| Figure: 4.23 | Output (STATA) of the Fitted Model for Reduced Data    | 90 |
| Figure: 4.24 | Normal Probability Plot for Anscombe Residuals         |    |
| Out          | put on Generalized Linear Gamma Model for Reduced Data | 91 |
|              |  |    |

Page

Figure: 4.25 Plot of the Anscombe Residuals Against the Fitted Values 92

### LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1 Selection of the Sample for this Study                     | 32 |
|--|----|
| Table 3.2 The Selected Factor for the Study                          | 34 |
| Table 3.3 Categorized Continuous Variables                           | 36 |
| Table 4.1 Properties of Continuous Exploratory Variables             | 56 |
| Table 4.2 Pearson's Correlation and P-Value                          | 57 |
| Table 4.3 Category-Wise Explanation on                               |    |
| Continuous Explanatory Variables                                     | 57 |
| Table 4.4 Composition of Factors and                                 |    |
| Expected Wage for Each Level of Factors                              | 59 |
| Table: 4.5 Statistics for Statistical Relationship                   | 61 |
| Table 4.6 Adequacy of Model and Assessing Goodness of Fit - Criteria | 92 |
| Table 5.1 Country wise Estimated Rate of Return by Mincer Model      | 97 |

#### **Chapter 1**

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background Of The Study

Income and consumption inequality in Sri Lanka are among the highest in the world (World Bank, 1999). Wage inequality plays an important role in income and consumption inequality. Wage/Salary is the main income source of the majority of employed population in Sri Lanka. In year 2002, out of total employed population, employees accounted for nearly 60%. The other three categories, employers, own account workers, and unpaid family workers respectively accounted for 2 percent, 28 percent, and 10 percent (Dept. of Census and Statistics, 2002). It shows that wage or salary workers represent the majority of employed population. However, their wage/salary is a function of a number of inputs such as sex, age, level of education, and experience. Many researchers have attempted to study the relationship between wage rate and different variables affecting it. However, in the case of Sri Lanka, this area of study has not been undergone for in-depth statistical analyses. Hence, this analysis attempts to fill this gap.

Human capital accumulation is an important determinant of individuals' earnings capacity and employment prospects, and therefore plays an important role in determining the level and distribution of income in society. In addition, The Department of Economic Affairs of the United Nations (1953) defined investment in human capital as investments made to increase the productivity of the labor factor. In 1960 Schultz wrote: "I propose to treat education as an investment in man. Since education becomes a part of person receiving it, I shall refer to it as human capital". The Free Education Act of 1945 (activated in 1952) provided a landmark in the history of educational development in Sri Lanka. The scheme allowed for universal free education from kindergarten to the university, and had an enormous impact on the general level and distribution of education. However, after fifty years (at 2002), illiteracy rate in Sri Lanka is the lowest in the South Asian Region, and secondary education is well developed. However, retention rates from grade to grade at the primary level in Sri Lanka are lower than those of a number of Asian countries: grade 10 (O\L) enrollments are only 45 percent of the enrollment of the same cohort in grade 1, even though education has been free in Sri Lanka. Educational inequality is also present in the labor force of Sri Lanka in spite of the considerable amount of public resources allocated to education since independence. Hence, it is important to identify how far the education of employees has been affected in the determining wage rate.

The literature reveals that labor market experience also plays a significant role in wage determination of employees. In the Sri Lankan case too, experience is taken into consideration as a recruitment criterion especially in the private sector. However, there

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schultz(1993), p.115