DOI :10.31357/fapsmst.2011.00424

DEVELOPMENT OF BAKERY FRESH, LOW COST, NATURAL BREAD IMPROVER FOR THE ORDINARY BAKERY INDUSTRY

By

Ramasinghe Kurunagala Pathiranage Thamara Krishanthie Pathirana

M.sc.

DEVELOPMENT OF BAKERY FRESH, LOW COST, NATURAL BREAD IMPROVER FOR THE ORDINARY BAKERY INDUSTRY

By

Ramasinghe Kurunagala Pathiranage Thamara Krishanthie Pathirana

The thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Master of science in food science and Technology

> Department of Food and Technology Faculty of Applied Sciences University of Sri Jayewardenepura Sri Lanka 2011.

DECLARATION

The work described in this was carried out by me at the University of Sri Jayewardenepura. Under the supervision of Professor K. K. D. S. Ranaweera. Department of food science and Technology University of Sri Sayewardenepura and Dr. S. B. Nawarathne, senior lecture of University of Sri Jayewardenepura and report on this has not been submitted to any university for a degree and been presented an accepted in previous application for a degree.

10.5.2013

FCPctLiran

Date

R.K.T.K. Pathirana

We certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true and that this is suitable for submission to university for the purpose of evaluation.

14.05

Prof. K.K.D.S. Ranaweera Department of Food science and Technology Faculty of applied science University of Sri Jayewardenepura Nugegoda. Sri Lanka.

5.2013

Dr. S. B. Navarathne Senior lecture Department of Food science and Technology, Faculty of applied science University of Sri Jayewardenepura Nugegoda.

Sri Lanka.

CONTENTS

	LIST	OF CON	TENTS	ii
	LIST	OF TABI	LES	vii
	LIST OF FIGURES			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT				ix
	ABS	TRACT		х
Cha	pter 1 -	Introduct	ion	1
				2
Cha	pter 2 -	Review of	fliterature	3
2.1	Wheat	Wheat (Triticum Vulgare)		
	2.1.1	Wheat va	rieties	3
	2.1.2	Milling w	heat to wheat flour	4
2.2	Wheat	flour		5
	2.2.1	Wheat flo	our quality	5
		2.2.1.1 V	Vheat protein	5
		2	.2.1.1.1 Gluten	7
		2	.2.1.1.2 Glutenin	7
		2	.2.1.1.3 Gliadin	7
		2.2.1.2 V	Vater absorption	8
		2.2.1.3 E	Extensibility & Resistance to extension	8
	2.2.3	Summary	of bread flour quality	8
	2.2.4	Enzymes		9
2.3	Raw n	naterial		9
	2.3.1	Flour		9
		2.3.1.1 U	Jnbleached flour	10
		2.3.1.2	Types of flour	10
		2.3.1.3	Brades of flour	11
		2.3.1.4	Wheat and flour constituents	11
A.	2.3.2	Yeast		14
		2.3.2.1 C	omposition of yeast	15
		2.3.2.2 I	Principle forms of yeast	15
		2.3.2.3 I	Biology of yeast cells	16

		2.3.2.4 Purpose of fermentation	17
		2.3.2.5 The action of yeast in doughs	17
2.4	Salt		18
2.5	Water		18
2.6	Sugar		18
2.7	Fats		18
2.8	Enzym	nes	18
2.9	Improv	vers	19
2.10	Bread r	making process	21
	2.10.1	Functions of the bread making process	21
	2.10.2	Method of bread making	21
	2.10.3	Dough pH	21
Cha	pter 03	- Materials and methods	
3.1	Determination of viability of yeast		
	3.1.1 I	Instruments equipments	22
	3.1.2 N	Materials	22
	3.1.3 N	Method	22
3.2	Design	n of the experiment.	22
	3.2.1	Two factor factorial design four variables at two levels	23
	3.2.2	Preparation of bread improver	24
	3.2.3	Preparation of treatment combination	25
		3.2.3.1 Instruments & equipment	25
		3.2.3.2 Material	25
		3.2.3.3 Method	25
3.3	Leave	ening index test	26
	3.3.1	Leavening index of the bread dough	26
		3.3.1.1 Instruments & equipment	26
		3.3.1.2 Materials	26
		3.3.1.3 Method	27
	3.3.2.	PH value of the bread dough .	27
		3.3.2.1 Instruments & equipment	27
		3.3.2.2 Materials	27
		3.3.2.3 Method	27

3.4	Application of best treatment combination in bread making process		27
	3.4.1	Instruments and equipment	27
	3.4.2	Materials	27
	3.4.3	Method	28
	3.4.4	pH value of baked bread	31
		3.4.4.1 Instruments & equipment	31
		3.4.4.2 Materials	31
		3.4.4.3 Method	31
3.5	Moistu	are content of the bread	32
	3.5.1	Instruments & equipment	32
	3.5.2	Materials	32
	3.5.3	Method	32
	3.5.4	Calculation	32
3.6	Bulk density of baked bread		
	3.6.1	Instruments & equipment	33
	3.6.2	Materials	33
	3.6.3	Method	33
3.7	Deterr	nination of specific loaf volume of baked bread	34
	3.7.1	Instruments & equipments	34
	3.7.2	Materials	34
	3.7.3	Method	34
	3.7.3	Determination of the volume of the loaf	34
	3.7.4	Calculation of the loaf volume for three bread samples.	34
	3.7.5	Determination of specific volume for three bread samples	34
3.8	Sensory evaluation for prepared wheat flour pan breads.		
	3.8.1	Prepared from 3 best bread improvers	35
	3.8.2	The hedonic scale	35
Cha	nter 4	- Results and discussion	
4.1	Devel	opment of bread improvers with respect to the	
1992	design of experiment		
	4.1.1	Incorporation of best treatments in commercial	
		scale bread making	39
4.2	Deter	mination of PH value of baked bread.	42

4.2.1	Determination of loaf volume $(g^{-1} cm^3)$	43
4.2.2	Determination of Bulk density	43
4.2.3	Determination of moisture content	
4.2.4	Sensory evaluation of best treatment	45
	4.2.4.1 Texture	46
	4.2.4.2 Overall acceptability	46

Chapter 5 - Conclusion

47

48

49

References

Appendix I

Appendix II

LIST OF TABLE

Table No.		Page
Table 2	Average chemical composition of wheat grain	4
Table 3	Treatment combination	28
Table 4.1	Leavening Index of sixteen treatments combinations	36
Table 4.2	Incorporation of 3 best treatments in commercial scale	40
	bread making	
Table 4.3	Determination of pH value of baked bread	42
Table 4.4	Determination of specific volume of loaf in baked bread	43
Table 4.5	Determination of bulk density of baked Thread	43
Table 4.6	Determination of moisture content of baked bread	44
Table 4.7	Sensory evaluation results for wheat flour breads	45

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.		Page
Fig. 01	Wheat grain	3
Fig. 02	Schematic representative of the configurationally	
	Difference of gliadia & glutenin	7
Fig. 03	he yeast cell	14
Fig. 04	Representation of the action of alpha and beta amylase	
	on starch	19
Fig. 05	Bread improver	25
Fig. 06	Leavening index of the bread dough	26
Fig. 07	Application of best treatment combination	29
Fig. 08	Process flow charts of bread	31
Fig. 09	Determination of pH value of baked bread	33
Fig. 10	Determination of specific loaf volume	34
Fig. 11	Relationship between Leavening time verses Leavening index	40
Fig. 12	Sensory profile	40

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to declare my sincere gratitude to my internal supervisor Prof. K.K.D.S. Ranaweera, Head, food science and Technology Division University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, for his excellent guidance encouragement and advice for completion of my study. Dr.S.B. Nawarathna, senior lecture, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, who guided research project by their constructive criticism and inspiring guidance.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Mrs. Damitha Rajapaksha Senior Research officer Agro and food Technology Division of the industrial Technology (ITI) Colombo -07. For accommodating me in her division to carry out my research work. I'm also indebted to, Ms. Karuna, Ms. Ratnya, Mr. Dammik, Ms. Indunil and all staff of Agro and food Technology division of the Industrial Technology (ITI) Colombo - 07 for spending there valuable time for my project in help for discussion.

I would further offer my thanks to the academic staff and the nonacademic staff of the Department of food Science and Technology for their support during my study. I also would like to offer my sincere gratitude, and my colleagues, who assist me in numerous ways for successful completion of the research project and the thesis.

ABSTRACT

Hence a study was carried out with two factor factorial design with 4 variables such as level of sugar and yeast ,leavening time and drying process at two levels. Thus 16 treatment combination with 3 replicates were subjected for the study.

Efficacy of the treatments was evaluated in terms of leavening index and results revealed that best treatment was $a_1b_1c_1d_1$ as this treatment was capable to accomplish the desirable leavening index 2.0 within a matter of 21/2hrs.

Thereafter these best treatment combinations $(a_1b_1c_1d_1)$ were used as the bread improver in manufacturing commercial scale bread using a ordinary bread making recipe.

Bread obtained from these 3 treatment combinations (Bread improvers) were subjected for measuring and comparison of physical, chemical and organoleptic properties along with normal bread as a control treatment.

Bread produced from $a_1b_1c_1d_1$ bread improver was capable to high quality bread comparatively control treatment, because bread out of this treatment was capable to secure low bulk density (0.125cm⁻³g¹), high quality bread crumb & crust structure along with better sensory properties.

However, moisture content and PH value of the bread produced with the best treatment were remained within with the regulatory requirement of less 40% and 5.2-6.0 respectively.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Bread is one of the most important breakfast food consumed by almost all over the world, even in developing countries like ours. The character of the industry has changed over the years with the introduction of innovation technology such as preservatives, improvers and improved mechanical methods. Traditionally bread is based on flour derived from the cereal grain wheat. The extracted wheat flour used in bread making process because which mainly consists of starch and water insoluble protein. However wheat flour is poor in vitamins, minerals and dietary fibre, nevertheless over the last decades a tendency to adopt bread as a regular food product has become increasingly apparent in developing countries like ours. To satisfy the demand for bread, they will have to import an ever increasing quota of wheat and to be increased substantial amount of foreign exchange, which is burden for the national coffer. where as the bakery products are enrich with the ready to eat nature and time saving capacity of busy life style, their popularity has increased among the consumers. Therefore substantial amount of bakers are emerging in the commercial environments and as a result of that variety of bakery products are coming in to the market, especially with different kind of bread products., Hence stiff completion has been emerged among the bakery and only variable option to cop with this situation is the quality. Thus, improving of quality in bread particularly, softness of bread crumb, colour of the bread crust are very important because consumers inadvertently squeeze the bread crumb while looking at the bread. Crust for the better perception. Therefore improving of quality of bread crumb is an important aspect in order to maintain competitive edge of the bread in the dynamic market. A Productive option in improving quality of bread crumb, particularly the texture, is incorporation of a bread improver in order to accelerate the leavening process as well as to from a soft bread crumb. However bread improver are very expensive and very difficult to afford ordinary baker, as it is foreign origin, and also may contain some chemicals such as pottassium Bromate etc. Hence development of locally make natural bread improver is a great release for the ordinary baker, and also retaining of foreign exchange of the

country. The aim of this study is to develop a natural, low cost, health beneficial bread improver. For the dynamic and competitive marketing environment in the country.

Main objective

Development of baker friendly, low cost, natural bread improve for the ordinary bakers. In order to improve bread crumb as well as bread crust for better perception of consumer.

Specific objectives

i. Select of best bread improvers out of sixteen treatment combinations in terms of leavening index.

ii. To identify the physical and chemical characteristics of bread such as pH ,bulk density ,loaf volume, prepared from the best bread improver.

3 To examine the organoleptic qualities(sensory) of bread prepared from the best bread improver as against ordinary bread.

CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Wheat (Triticum Vulgare)

Wheat is the most important cereal used in bread making it grown in the Middle East but now widely grown through out the world (Ronalds Kirk Teal, 1991).



Fig. 1: Wheat grain

2.1.1 Wheat varieties

1. Hard red spring wheat

The flours are usually high in protein and have strong gluten. They are especially suitable for pan bread and specially breads.

2. Hard red winter wheat

The flours are intermediate in protein percentage and strength most white pan bread is made with these flours.

3. Soft red winter wheat

Flours from this wheat are used mostly for cakes, cookies and pastries since their protein content is low and the gluten relatively weak.