# DETERMINANTS OF PERFORMANCE OF ASSISTANT DISTRICT VALUERS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF VALUATION IN SRI LANKA

Dissertation submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura as a partial fulfillment for the requirements of the final examination of the M.Sc. in Real Estate Management and Valuation Degree.



**Full Name** 

: R.A.R.M.NIMAL RAJAKARUNA

Examination No : REMV/80

Registration No : GS/M.Sc/REMV 3768 / 09

Department

: Estate Management and Valuation

University

: Sri Jayawardenepura

Datte Subbrisision: 28th January 2013

The work describes in this dissertation was carried out under the supervision of Professor (Dr.) R.Lalitha S.Fernando and any report on this has not been submitted in whole or in part to any university or any other institute for another degree / examination or any other purpose.

Full Name

: RARMNIMAL RAJAKARUNA

**Examination No** 

: REMV / 80

Signature of the Candidate

- Cuy

Date

: 28.01.2013

Hereby, I certify that Mr. R A R M Nimal Rajakaruna, (GS / M.Sc / REMV 3768 / 09 duly completed the research titled "Determinants of Employee Performance in the Department of Valuation in Sri Lanka" under my supervision and recommended to submit for the evaluation.

talit. In	un.
Signature of the supervisor	Department of Public Administration University of Sri Jayewardenepura Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.
Signature of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> examiner	
	University of Sri Jayewardenepura Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.

Signature and the official stamp of the Head of the Department

#### **ABSTRACT**

Although the volume of literature on employee performance management, performance evaluation, employee motivation factors and satisfaction factors grows, apparently researchers pay scant attention on determinants of employee performance in the government sector service organizations.

As a government sector service organization the Department of Valuation faces problems on low performance of its employees who work as Assistant District Valuers (ADVs). Hence researcher paid much attention on that matter and selected as the research problem. The objectives of this research are to investigate and identify the key determinants pertaining to the performance of ADVs and establish a high performance culture in the Department of Valuation.

The determinants such as Support of Supervisors, Knowledge and Skills of Employees, Working Conditions, Feedback on Job Results, Non-financial Rewards, Efficiency of Existing Performance Rating System were identified in the process of the research, based on data collected from a pilot survey, literature and the experience of the researcher. The primary data was collected through a self questionnaire from ADVs who were selected through a systematic random sampling method and the secondary data was obtained from the records and reports of the Valuation Department.

The reliability of the data set was tested using the Cronbatch's alpha for the purpose of increasing the validity of the study. Then the analysis of correlation, ANOVA and multiple regressions were used as analytical tools to identify the key determinants. Finally tested the hypotheses to investigate the relationship between selected determinants and employee performance.

The result of the analysis shows that the determinants (a) support of supervisors, (b) working conditions significantly affected the performance of ADVs, the remaining four were not found to be of much influence on their performance. Having done the identification of the factors affect to the low performance of the ADVs, researcher proposed and recommends the proposals to increase the performance to achieve the desired galls of the Department.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In the first instants, I would like to thank the Department of Valuation for allowing me to do this study. Secondly, I would be grateful to my supervisor, Professor (Dr.) R. Lalitha S. Fernando for her invaluable advice, intellectual guidance and supervision, which cannot be exhaustively substantiated and also which has been a source of inspiration to me throughout the whole Thesis Writing Process.

I also acknowledge the invaluable guidance provided by Professor R.G. Ariyawansa, current course coordinator Mrs. Padma Weerakoon, and the immediate past course coordinator Mrs. Nishani Wickramarachchi and all other lecturers of the Master Programme and I would like to thank the administration staff for their genuine help and support throughout my study period. I am also grateful for my colleagues of the Master Programme for their invaluable support and contribution during the dissertation seminars and also for the thesis writing process. Their constructive comments have always been a motivating factor in improving my work.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank the staff at Kandy office and the Regional valuers and their staff for their continuous support and assistance during the data collection and my whole study period. I greatly appreciate for spending their precious time and support for the same.

Last but not least, I want to express my heartfelt thanks to my family for their dearly care and encouragement throughout my study period.

## **Abbreviations**

ADV- Assistant District Valuer

BARS-Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scales

BSC (E.M.V)-Bachelor of Science (Estate Management and Valuation)

DV- District Valuer

FB- Feedback on job results

GRS- Graphic rating scale

HRM- Human Resource Management

IT- Information and technology

MBO- Management by objective

MBO- Management by objective

NFR- Non Financial Rewards

PA- Performance appraisal

PER- Performance appraisal rating

RICS- Royal Institute of Charted Surveyors

KS- Knowledge and Skills

SS- Support of Supervisor

WC- Working Condition

## **Table of Contents**

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	ii
List of abbreviations	iii
Table of Contents	iv, v,
List of Tables	vi,vii,
List of Figures	viii
Contents	Page
CHAPTER ONE - Introduction	01-10
1.1. Background of the study	01
1.2. Problematic statement	02
1.3 .The research questions of the study	05
1.4 .Objectives of the study	06
1.5. Significance of the study	06
1.6. Methodology of the Research	07
1.7. Structure of the Thesis	09
CHAPTER TWO - Literature Review	11 - 36
2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. Performance Appraisal	11
2.3. Determinants of Employee Performance	21
2.4. Conceptual Model	31
2.5 .Hypothesis development	31
2.6. Operationalization of variables	33
2.7. Summary	36
CHAPTER THREE - Research Design	37-44
3.1. Introduction	37
3.2. Research design	37
3.3. Population, sample and sample procedure	38

3.4.	Data	41
3.5.	Validity and reliability	43
3.6.	Data analysis	44
CHA	APTER FOUR - Background of the Study	45- 54
4.1.	Introduction	46
4.2.	Structure of the Department	46
4.3.	Services provided by the department	48
4.4.	Human Resource management	49
CH/	APTER FIVE - Analysis of data and data presentation	55 - 89
5.1.	Introduction	55
5.2.	Data presentation	55
5.3.	Descriptive analysis	56
5.4.	Analysis and results	82
5.5.	Research findings and discussion	86
СНА	APTER SIX - Conclusion and Recommendation	90-95
6.1.	Conclusion	90
6.2.	Research findings	91
6.3.	Recommendation	92
6.4.	Implication for future research	95
Tine	of Reference	07.00
		96-98
Anı	nexure	99-108
I.	Questionnaire part 1 and 2	99-102
II.	Reliability test	103-104
III.	Correlation analysis	105
IV.	Regression analysis	106-107
V.	Performance evaluation criterion	108

## List of Tables

Table	Page
1.1. Performance Levels of Employees	2
1.2. Selection a sample for an in-depth interview	3
1.3 Criteria of Point allocation	3
2.1. Operationlisation of demographic variables	34
2.2. Operationalisation of research variables	32
3.1. Selecting a sample size	39
3.2. Selection of Sample	40
3.3. Selection of a sample for the in-depth interview	42
4.1. Office structure and hierarchy	46
4.2. Cadre / Strength of the Department of Valuation	49
4.3. Points allocation	52
4.4. Performance measuring standards	54
5.1. Educational qualifications	56
5.2. Marital status	57
5.3. Gender formation	58
5.4. Age of the Assistant District valuers	59
5.5. Experience of Assistant District valuers	60
5.6. Promotions	61
5.7. Professional qualifications	62
5.8. Attention of supervisor	63
5.9. Adequate files	64
5.10. Finding faults	64
5.11. Better working condition	65
5.12. Equal distribution	65
5.13. Progress evaluation	66
5.14. Vision and mission	66
5.15. Prioritize of work	67
5.16. Oral and written communication skills	68
5.17. New tools	68
5.18. Computer knowledge	69

5.19. Problem solving ability	69
5.20. Data base	70
5.21. Updating knowledge	70
5.22. Convenient work place	71
5.23. Design of office space	72
5.24. Design of office space and furniture & fittings	72
5.25. Pleasant office place	73
5.26. Hazardless office space	73
5.27. Distance to work place	74
5.28. Transport facilities	74
5.29. Relax around meals	75
5.30. Job security	76
5.31. Training & Education	76
5.32. Verbal appraisal	77
5.33. Recognition	77
5.34. Encourage by performance	78
5.36. Self evaluation	78
5.37. Acknowledgement of future goals	79
5.38. Accuracy of Performance evaluation	79
5.39. Quality of job	80
5.40. Time period	80
5.41 Performance of ADVs in 2010	81
5.42. Reliability statistics	82
5.50. Results of correlation analysis	83
5.51. ANOVAs and regression analysis	84

# **List of Figures**

Figure	Pages
2.1. Conceptual framework	31
2.2. Research definitions	33
4.1. Organization Structure	45
4.2. ADV strength of the Department	49
5.1. Educational qualifications	57
5.2. Marital states	58
5.3. Gender	59
5.4. Age of the respondents	60
5.5. Average experiences	61
5.6. Promotions	62
5.7. Professional qualifications	63
5.8. Performance of ADVs in 2010	81
5.9. Graphical form of the determinants	87

## **CHAPTER ONE**

## Introduction

## 1.1. Background to the research

Performance means how well or badly someone does something or the way a person performs. The performance of every employee in an organization is not completely good or bad. If there is a difference or gap between actual performance and what is desired by the organization, the realization of the objectives of the organization may be adversely affected. Hence the level of performance of employees in any organization is more important than the other production or service factors.

A number of researchers have established the relationship between human resource practices and employee performance. However, little evidence is available on relationships between determinants and employee performance. With the experience of about 30 years in the Department of Valuation as a Valuer, observations of the performance of employees ,discussions held with the various level officers in the Department, and studying the literature, 6 determinants were identified to examine in this research i.e. (a) support of supervisors, (b) knowledge, skills of employees, (c) working conditions, (d) non financial rewards, (e) feed-back on job results and (f) efficiency of the existing performance evaluations of Assistant District Valuers (ADVs) in the Department of Valuation.

Satisfactory employee performance helps organizations to achieve their goals and objectives more efficiently and effectively. Further it would benefit the employees in terms of recognition and career development opportunities leading to improved knowledge, skills and attitudes.

Akinyele and Samuel (2007) noted that in recent times, countries of the world are becoming aware that increasing economic growth is not only due to new technology or combination of factors of production but also due to the development of its manpower resources. Further the author emphasized that the human side of an enterprise is an important component of an organization, where the enterprise can obtain the best of human capital for effective organizational performance.

Employees differ from each other based on their abilities and attitudes. There may be some differences between the quality and quantity of the same work on the same job done by two different people, but if the differences go beyond reasonable limits it may become a problem for the organization. Hence every organization needs to identify the most important determinants of employee performance. Failure to do so would result in the organizations being unable to take timely measures to achieve their desired goals and objectives.

The Department of Valuation assesses the performance of Assistant District Valuers at the end of every month. The total work force of the Department is 438, which consists of managerial and non-managerial staff. Brown and Heywood (2005) define performance appraisal as "formal appraisal of non-managerial workers at least once a year". Assistant District Valuers are considered as non-managerial staff, who is engaged in the operational level under the direct supervision of District Valuers, who are the front line managers of the Department. Hence, in this study only the Assistant District Valuers are considered as the population. They make up the majority of the whole work force, it was 82.20% in the year 2010 of the Department of Valuation and the proper functioning of the Department depends on their performance to a large extent.

#### 1.2. Problem statement

Even though the Department expects a higher performance standard from the Assistant District Valuers, the performance of a majority of them is at an average or below average level. The Department does not expect the level below average as shown in the table 1.1 given below.

**Table 1.1 Department expectations** 

Appraisal Rating	Marks	Levels		
Excellent	17.5 or more	Expected levels		
Above average	12.50 to 17.50	Expected levels		
Average	10.00 to 12.50	Unexpected levels		
Unsatisfactory	Below 10.00	Unexpected level		

Source: Departmental order 248

### 1.2.1 Performance Appraisal criteria and standards

The above rating standards are based on the criterion introduced by the Department in circular No.282 (2006), which is based on the type of job done by Assistant District Valuers during the period of appraisal.

Table 1.2 Criteria of point allocation

Type of work	Points			
	File	Unit		
Condition Reports	0.25	0.10		
Land Acquisition- General	3.00	0.50		
Urban Development Authority	3.50	0.75		
National Development Authority	3.50	0.75		
Land Estimates	2.00	0.15		
Land Miscellaneous	2.00	0.15		
Stamp Duty	2.00	0.15 0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15		
Bribery cases	4.00			
Rental Private	1.00			
Re assessment				
Building application				
Rating objections	=	0.05		
Rating-Preliminary survey	-	0.03		
New card system	-	0.015		
Final Survey	-	0.006		
Special Properties	-	0.75		
Rent board meetings(per sitting)	-	0.30		
Land Acquisition Board of Review		.60 per da		
Preparation of files	3.00	.6- per da		
Attendance on appeals(per day)		0.50		
Section 9 inquiries(per day)		0.50		
Any other special work(per day)	-	0.60		

Source: Departmental orders, Valuation Department

The criteria shown in the table 1.2 is applied by the regional offices to measure the performance of ADVs under the supervision of performance evaluation branch in the Head office.

Example: If an Assistant District Valuer has done three land acquisition files, which include Six lands and attended four rent board meetings during a month, his eligibility of earning marks will be as follows;

Land acquisitions-	Files	$3 \times 3.0 =$	9.00
	Units	6 x.05 =	3.00
Rent board-	Meetings	4 x.30 =	1.20
Total marks			$\underline{13.20}$ (Category = above average
level)			

### 1.2.3 Actual performance obtained by the ADVs

The Table 1.3 shows the number of Assistant District Valuers attached to the Regional and District offices and actual performance standards achieved by them in the year 2010.

**Table 1.3 Actual Performance Levels of Employees** 

Name of the	Below		10 to		12.5 to		17.5 or		Tota
Region	10	%	12.5	%	17.50	%	More	%	1
Colombo Metro	11	30%	12	32%	9	24%	5	14%	37
Colombo Western	20	53%	14	37%	3	24%	1	3%	38
Western North	8	23%	11	31%	13	26%	3	9%	35
Western South	0	0%	18	78%	5	39%	0	0%	23
Southern	12	29%	17	40%	10	21%	3	7%	42
Central	3	8%	10	27%	19	24%	5	14%	37
North-Western	7	24%	8	28%	8	31%	6	21%	29
Sabaragamuwa	1	6%	5	31%	9	56%	1	6%	16
North-Central	2	14%	4	29%	4	64%	4	29%	14
Uva & East	6	23%	6	23%	10	35%	4	15%	26
I/T unit	0	0%	0	0%	10	82%	1	9%	11
Jaffna	2	29%	2	29%	2	29%	1	14%	7
Total	72	23%	107	34%	102	32%	34	12%	314

Source: Valuation Department, 2010 monthly reports

In Colombo Metro region 30% of the employees were at an unsatisfactory level and in Colombo western region it was 53%. The percentage in Southern and Jaffna sub