The Impact of Marketing Culture on Marketing Effectiveness, Technical Efficiency and Business Performance: An Empirical Study of Commercial Banking Sector in Sri Lanka

BX

P. G. Sanjeevani Amila Jayarathne

GS/MC/2363/2004

MSc in Management Programme

University of Sri Jayewardenepura

2008

This is a MSc dissertation submitted to the MSc unit of the University of Sri Jayewardenepura, as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the MSc in Management Programme - specialized in

Marketing Management

Under the title of "The Impact of Marketing Culture on Marketing Effectiveness, Technical Efficiency and Business Performances: An Empirical Study of Commercial Banking Sector in Sri Lanka"

On 29th September 2008

Candidate's Declaration

The work described in this thesis was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. B. N. F. Warnakulasooriya and a report on this not been submitted in whole or in part to any university or any other institute for another degree/diploma

P G S A Jayarathne GS/MC/2363/2004

Certification

I certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true and that this thesis is suitable for submission to the university for the purpose of evaluation

Oberford

Dr. B. N. F. Warnakulasooriya Head/Senior Lecturer Department of Marketing Management University of Sri Jayewardenepura

Gangodawila

Nugegoda

Dr. B. N. F. Warnakulasooriya Senior Lecturer Department of Marketing Management University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda

| | Content | | | | | | |
|------|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Chap | Chapter 1 : Introduction | | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Background of the Study | 01 | | | | | |
| | 1.1.1 Services | 01 | | | | | |
| | 1.1.2 Significance of Services | 02 | | | | | |
| | 1.1.3 Service Sector | 05 | | | | | |
| | 1.1.4 Financial Services | 06 | | | | | |
| | 1.1.5 Sri Lankan Commercial Banking Sector | 07 | | | | | |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 16 | | | | | |
| 1.3 | Purpose of the Study | 17 | | | | | |
| 1.4 | Research Objectives | 17 | | | | | |
| 1.5 | Research Questions | 18 | | | | | |
| Chap | ter 2: Literature Review | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | Culture | 19 | | | | | |
| | 2.1.1 Types of Organizational Culture | 20 | | | | | |
| | 2.1.2 Importance of Organizational Culture | 22 | | | | | |
| 2.2 | Marketing Culture | 23 | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1 Market Oriented Culture Vs Marketing Culture | 24 | | | | | |
| | 2.2.2 Marketing Culture and Market Orientation | 25 | | | | | |
| | 2.2.3 Importance of Marketing Culture in Service Firms | 25 | | | | | |
| 2.3 | Marketing Effectiveness | 26 | | | | | |
| | 2.3.1 Importance of Marketing Effectiveness in | | | | | | |
| | Banking/Financial Service Sector | 28 | | | | | |
| 2.4 | Business Performance | | | | | | |
| 2.5 | Technical Efficiency | | | | | | |
| 2.6 | Development of Hypotheses | | | | | | |

i

| | 2.6.1 Marketing Culture and Marketing Effectiveness | | | 33 | |
|------|---|---|----|----|--|
| | 2.6.2. | 2.6.2. Marketing Culture and Technical Efficiency | | | |
| | 2.6.3. | rmances | 36 | | |
| | 2.6.4 Marketing Effectiveness and Business Performances | | | 37 | |
| | 2.6.5 | l Efficiency | 39 | | |
| | 2.6.6 Technical Efficiency and Business Performances | | | 40 | |
| | 2.6.7 | Impact of Compounding Variables | | 41 | |
| 2.7 | Theor | Theoretical Framework/ Conceptual Framework | | | |
| | 2.7.1 | Summary of Hypotheses | | 47 | |
| Chap | ter 3: N | Iethodology | | | |
| 3.1 | Introd | | 48 | | |
| 3.2 | Resea | | 48 | | |
| | 3.2.1 | Purpose of the Study | | 48 | |
| | 3.2.2 | Type of the Study | | 49 | |
| | 3.2.3 1 | Nature of the Study | | 49 | |
| | 3.2.4 \$ | | 49 | | |
| | 3.2.5 | | 50 | | |
| | 3.2.61 | | 50 | | |
| | 3.2.7 | Sampling Plan | | 51 | |
| | 3.2.8 | Research Instrument | | 52 | |
| 3.3 | Opera | | 53 | | |
| | 3.3.1 | Marketing culture (MC) | | 53 | |
| | 3.3.2 | Marketing effectiveness (ME) | | 59 | |
| | 3.3.3 | Business Performance (BP) | | 65 | |
| | 3.3.4 | Compounding Variables | | 68 | |
| | 3.3.5 | Technical Efficiency (TE) | | 70 | |
| 3.4 | Hypot | hesis Testing | | 73 | |
| 3.5 | Decision Criteria | | | 77 | |
| | | | | | |

| 3.6 | Validation of Mea | 77 | |
|-------|---------------------|--|------|
| | 3.6.1 Unidimens | sionalty | 78 |
| | 3.6.2 Reliability | | 78 |
| | 3.6.3 Content V | alidity | 79 |
| | 3.6.4 Converger | nt Validity | 80 |
| Chaj | oter 4: Data Presen | tation and Analysis | 82 |
| 4.1.1 | 82 | | |
| | 4.2 Data Presenta | tion | 82 |
| 4.3 | Analysis and Hyp | othesis Testing | 84 |
| | 4.3.1. Univeriate | Analysis for Normality Test | 84 |
| | 4.3.1.1 Frequency | Distribution Analysis / Normality | 85 |
| | Test for M | larketing Culture | |
| | 4.3.1.2 Frequency | Distribution Analysis / Normality | 86 |
| | Test for M | | |
| | 4.3.1.3 Frequency | 87 | |
| | Test for Fi | inancial Performance | |
| | 4.3.1.3.1 | Sales Growth | 88 |
| | 4.3.1.3.2 | Market Share | 89 |
| | 4.3.1.3.3 | Profitability | . 90 |
| | 4.3.1.4 Frequency | Distribution Analysis / Normality Test | |
| | for Qualitation | 93 | |
| | 4.3.1.4.1 | Employee Commitment | 93 |
| | 4.3.1.4.2 | Esprit de Corp | 95 |
| | 4.3.1.4.3 | Service Quality | 96 |
| | 4.3.1.4.4 | New Product Success | 97 |
| | 4.3.1.4.5 | Competitiveness | 99 |
| | 4.3.1.5 Frequency | Distribution Analysis / Normality Test | |
| | for Compo | ounding Variables | 100 |
| | 4.3.1.5.1 | Buyer Power | 100 |

| | | 4.3.1.5.2 | Seller Power | | 102 |
|--------|--|---|------------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| | | 4.3.1.5.3 | Competitor Intensity | 7 | 103 |
| | | 4.3.1.5.4 | Entry Barriers | | 104 |
| | | 4.3.1.5.5 | Market Growth | | 106 |
| | | 4.3.1.5.6 | Relative Size | | 107 |
| | | 4.3.1.5.7 | Relative Cost | | 108 |
| | | 4.3.1.5.8 | The Rate of Technol | logical Change | 110 |
| 4.4 | Analy | sis | | | 111 |
| | 4.4.1 | The Degree | of Marketing Culture (| MC), Marketing | |
| | Effectiveness (ME), Technical Efficiency (TE) and | | | | |
| | Business Performance (BP) | | | | 118 |
| | 4.4.2 | Variance in MC and ME in commercial banks | | | 118 |
| | 4.4.3 | Association among marketing culture, marketing | | | 119 |
| | effectiveness, technical efficiency and business performance | | | | |
| | | 4.4.3.1 Mark | keting Culture and Mar | keting Effectiveness | 119 |
| | 4.4.3.2 Marketing Culture and Technical Efficiency | | | nnical Efficiency | 120 |
| | | 4.4.3.3 Marketing Culture and Business Performance | | | 122 |
| | 4.4.3.4 Marketing Effectiveness and Business Performan | | | d Business Performance | 124 |
| | 4.4.3.5 Marketing Effectiveness and Technical Efficiency | | | d Technical Efficiency | 126 |
| | | 4.4.3.6 Technical Efficiency and Business Performance | | | 127 |
| | 4.4.4 | Impact of M | arketing Culture on Ma | arketing Effectiveness, | |
| | Business Performance and Technical Efficiency | | | | 129 |
| | 4.4.5 | Impact of Co | ompounding Variables | on the Relationship | |
| | betwe | en Marketing | Culture and Business I | Performance | 136 |
| Chapt | ter 5: (| Conclusion an | d Recommendations | | 139 |
| 5.1 | Concl | usion | | | 139 |
| 5.2 | Recor | nmendations | | | 141 |
| 5.3 | Direct | tion for future | research | | 144 |
| Refere | ence | | | | |
| Apper | ndix | | | | |

iv

List of Tables

Table 1.1: National Output in Sri Lanka (1950-2007)

Table 1.2: Selected Financial-Sector Indicators (1977-1987)

Table 2.1: Types of Cultures

Table 2.2 : Measurement Indicators – Business Performance

Table 2.3 : Compounding Variables/ Extraneous Variables

Table 3.1 – Sample of the Research

Table 3.2:conceptual definition and respective variables ofdimension in MC

Table 3.3 – Dimensions and Indicators of Marketing Culture

Table 3.4 – Conceptual definitions and respective variables of the dimensions of Marketing Effectiveness

Table 3.5 – Dimensions and Indicators of Marketing Effectiveness

Table – 3.6: Conceptual Definitions of Non-Financial Measures

Table - 3.7 Dimensions and Indicators of Business Performance

Table 3.8 – Items of compounding variables and their measurement scales

Table 3.9 (a): Decision Criteria for MC

Table 3.9 (b) : Decision Criteria for ME

Table 3.10 : Reliability Statistics

Table 3.11 (a) : Results of Paired Samples Correlations for Marketing Culture

 Table 3.11 (b) : Results of Paired Samples Correlations for Marketing

 Effectiveness

Table 4.1: Frequency Table for All the Variables

Table 4.2 : Statistics of the Distribution of Marketing Culture

Table 4.3 : Statistics of the Distribution of Marketing Effectiveness

Table 4.4 : Statistics of the Distribution of Sales Growth

Table 4.5 : Statistics of the Distribution of Market Share

Table 4.6 : Statistics of the Distribution of Profitability

Table 4.7 : Statistics of the Distribution of Total Financial Performance Table 4.08 : Statistics of the Distribution of Employee Commitment Table 4.09 : Statistics of the Distribution of Esprit de Corp Table 4.10 : Statistics of the Distribution of Service Quality Table 4.11 : Statistics of the Distribution of New Product Success Table 4.12 : Statistics of the Distribution of Competitiveness Table 4.13 : Statistics of the Distribution of Buyer Power Table 4.14 : Statistics of the Distribution of Seller Power Table 4.15 : Statistics of the Distribution of Competitor Intensity Table 4.16 : Statistics of the Distribution of Entry Barriers Table 4.17 : Statistics of the Distribution of market growth Table 4.18 : Statistics of the Distribution of Relative Size Table 4.19: Statistics of the Distribution of Relative Cost Table 4.20: Statistics of the Distribution of the Rate of Technological Change Table 4.21: Total mean value of marketing culture, marketing effectiveness, business performance (both qualitative and quantitative) and technical efficiency. Table 4.22 : Mean Values of Constructs by Categories Table 4.23 (a) : Results of One-Sample Test : MC Table 4.23 (b) : Results of One-Sample Test : MC Table 4.23(c) : Results of One-Sample Test : ME Table 4.23(d) : Results of One-Sample Test : ME Table 4.24 (a): Results of One Way ANOVA test for Marketing Culture Table 4.24 (b): Results of One Way ANOVA test for Marketing Effectiveness Table 4.25 (a): Correlations of MC and ME Table 4.26: Correlations of MC and TE Table 4.27 : Correlations of MC and BP Table 4.28 (a): Correlations of ME and BP Table 4.28 (b): Correlations of ME and BP Table 4.29 : Correlations of ME and TE Table 4.30 : Correlations of TE and BP

Table 4.31 (a) Dimension of MC on ME - ANOVA(b)

Table 4.31 (b) Coefficients(a)

Table 4.32(a) Dimensions of MC on TE - ANOVA(b)

Table 4.32 (b) Coefficients(a)

Table 4.33 (a) Dimensions of MC on BP (Financial Performance) - ANOVA(b)

Table 4.33 © Coefficients(a)

Table 4.34 (a) Dimensions of MC on BP (Non-financial Performance) - ANOVA(b)

Table 4.34 (b) Coefficients(a)

Table 4.35 (a) MC and Compounding Variables on BP (financial Performance) -

ANOVA(b)

Table 4.35 (b) Coefficients(a)

Table 4.36 (a) MC and Compounding Variables on BP (Non-financial Performance) - ANOVA(b)

Table 4.36 (b) Coefficients(a)

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1: Interactive model of an Economy
- Figure 1.2 : Classification of service firms
- Figure -1.3 : Market Share (from Deposits) of Selected Commercial Banks
- Figure -1.4: Market Share (from loans and advanced) of Selected Commercial Banks
- Figure -1.5 : Market Share (from Assets) of Selected Commercial Banks
- Figure 2.1 : Conceptual Framework
- Figure 4.1 : Histogram of the Marketing Culture
- Figure 4.2 : Histogram of the Marketing Effectiveness
- Figure 4.3: Histogram of Sales Growth
- Figure 4.4: Histogram of Market Share
- Figure 4.5 : Histogram of Profitability
- Figure 4.6 : Histogram of Overall Financial Performance
- Figure 4.7 : Histogram of Employee Commitment
- Figure 4.8 : Histogram of Esprit de Corp
- Figure 4.9 : Histogram of Service Quality
- Figure 4.10: Histogram of New Product Success
- Figure 4.11: Histogram of Competitiveness
- Figure 4.12: Histogram of Buyer Power
- Figure 4.13: Histogram of Seller Power
- Figure 4.14: Histogram of Competitor Intensity
- Figure 4.15: Histogram of Entry Barriers
- Figure 4.16: Histogram of Market Growth
- Figure 4.17: Histogram of Relative Size
- Figure 4.18: Histogram of Relative Cost
- Figure 4.19: Histogram of the Rate of Technological Change

List of Abbreviation

- ATM = Automated Teller Machines
- BP = Business Performance
- BP = Buyer Power
- CI = Competitive Intensity
- CP = Customer Philosophy
- DEA = Data Envelopment Analysis
- EB = Entry Barriers
- GDP = Gross Domestic Production
- IC = Internal Communication
- IMO = Integrated Marketing Organization
- IN = Innovativeness
- IR = Interpersonal Relationship
- MC = Marketing Culture
- ME = Marketing Effectiveness
- MG = Market Growth
- MI = Adequate Marketing Information
- OE = Operational Efficiency
- OR = Organization
- RC = Relative Cost
- RS = Relative Size
- SBU = Strategic Business Unit
- SFA = Stochastic Frontier Analysis
- SO = Strategic Orientation
- SP = Seller Power
- SQ = Service Quality
- ST = Selling Task
- TC = Technical Change
- TE = Technical Efficiency

Acknowledgement

At the very outset, I must be grateful to the supervisor of this thesis, Dr. B N F Warnakulasooriya, for giving me his valuable guidance, helpful suggestions and constructive criticism given to me at every stage of this research, by taking his valuable time to make this task a success.

Also, my thanks should goes to Dr. P D Nimal, the coordinator, MSc in Management Programme for his support to make this thesis a success.

Next, I would like to thank every body who participate for data collection. The especial thanks should goes to the branch managers of local commercial banks that I contacted for the interviews.

The Impact of Marketing Culture on Marketing Effectiveness, Technical Efficiency and Business Performances: An Empirical Study of

Commercial Banking Sector in Sri Lanka

P. G. S. A. Jayarathne

ABSTRACT

The importance of a firm's marketing culture and marketing effectiveness has received considerable interest in the past few years from both researchers and practitioners Literature reveals that Marketing Culture and Marketing Effectiveness contribute for the enhancement of Business Performance and Technical Efficiency of any industry. However, Existing literature in Sri Lanka has paid little attention to study the impact of Marketing Culture on Marketing Effectiveness, Technical Efficiency and Business Performance. Therefore, the objective of this study is to examine the Impact of Marketing Culture (MC) on Marketing Effectiveness (ME), Technical Efficiency (TE) and Business Performances (BP). As the commercial banking sector in Sri Lanka has been identified as a path to development the current study was conducted for commercial banking sector in Sri Lanka. Research design is explanatory. Unit of analysis is commercial banks. Nine local commercial banks out of twelve banks were selected for the study. Branch level managers were selected as proxies. MC and ME were assessed through a measurement arrive at priory. The finding discloses that MC has a positive impact on ME, TE and BP in commercial banks and that the degree of impact of MC varies across the banks. Interpersonal communication, service quality and organization respectively showed a significant impact on financial performance of commercial banks. However, only service quality and interpersonal communication respectively and significantly influence the non-financial performance of commercial banks in Sri Lanka. Further, it found out that marketing culture, buyer power, seller power, competitor intensity, entry barriers, relative cost and the rate of technological change showed a significant impact of financial performance. Thus, it disclosed that marketing culture, buyer power, competitor intensity, entry barriers, and relative size significantly influenced the relationship with non-financial performance. Finally, national and firm level policy recommendations were made to enhance the BP and TE through MC and ME.