

2062
M2
2013/11/05

Management Accounting Systems in Sri Lanka:
An Analysis of
Sophistication, Determinants and Performance

K B M Fonseka

BSc. (Colombo), MBA (Colombo), FCMA, FCMA (UK), CGMA

A thesis submitted to
the Postgraduate Institute of Management,
University of Sri Jayewardenepura
in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Business Administration

Library - USJP



206220

Colombo, Sri Lanka

Abstract

This study examines Management Accounting System (MAS) sophistication, its determinants and performance in the empirical setting of listed companies engaged in manufacturing in Sri Lanka. Motivation for the current study arose as a result of *prima facie* evidence of a mismatch between investments made, viz., expenditure, effort and time in the propagation of sophisticated MASs, and the return on such investments assessed in terms of their adoption, use and performance as is evident in the few local studies of an exploratory nature.

In response to calls by Chenhall (2003), Gerdin (2005) and Tillema (2005), Abdel-Kader & Luther (2008) adopted a multiple contingency framework to study MAS sophistication in the food and drinks industry in the UK. This inspiring work formed the basis for the present study which adopted a similar theoretical and methodological approach. In it, MAS sophistication is defined in terms of current Management Accounting Practices in use while contingencies comprise the external environment, structure, technology, strategy and size. The presumed relationships between MAS sophistication and contingencies when tested using non-parametric tests were found to be non-significant.

A related approach that expressed MAS sophistication as an index on a continuous scale followed by correlation and multiple regression analysis too yielded similar results, except for structure (operationalized as the extent of decentralization), which showed a significant relationship. Thus, with both approaches within the positivistic paradigm showing inadequate support for a contingency theory based explanation the need arose to look for determinants of MAS sophistication afresh.

At this point, Institutional Theory of the post-positivistic tradition was chosen as an alternative theoretical framework (Dillard, Rigsby and Goodman, 2004) for investigation owing to its growing popularity in accounting-related research. Accordingly, a series of in-depth interviews were held with the senior management of ten listed companies with varied MAS sophistication supported by visits to companies and perusal of company records.

MAS sophistication of listed companies engaged in manufacturing as measured by the sophistication index is widely dispersed, but with room for improvement. Further, the

distribution is negatively skewed with a slight overall bias towards higher values though a slightly larger proportion of companies appear in the lower half of the range of values.

The data suggest that the MA function in companies falls into a spectrum ranging from the simple to the complex and from low to high recognition. Further, MASs are driven by information technology, which ranges from simple accounting software of various functional capacities to sophisticated Enterprise Resource Systems (ERS). There is strong evidence of Institutional Isomorphism (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) effected through coercive, normative and mimetic forces in the organizational fields the companies belong to. The broader socio-political and cultural environment immersed in market capitalism impacts differently on organizational fields with varied ramifications on the MASs of organizations within them.

The data analysis inferences gave rise to three propositions: (i) MAS sophistication evolves within and as a consequence of the unique socio-political and cultural setting of the organization; (ii) MAS sophistication is a composite of both contingencies and institutional forces that act upon the organization, and (iii) A higher level of MAS performance is observed in organizations where a 'fit' exists between MAS sophistication and the mix of contingencies than when such a fit does not exist.

In essence, the underlying theme suggests that MAS sophistication of a company should be free of frills and lapses, and be in tandem with the situation of the organization as specified by the contingencies. At the organizational level, in moving towards this state, assessment of one's MAS sophistication and its adequacy is imperative which will inform the manager to retain, expand or contract its MAS. Creating public awareness through appropriate forums, introducing necessary changes in course syllabi in Management Accounting and propagating post-positivistic methodologies in research are measures needed in the wider context.

The contributions of the current study are manifold. While contributing to bridging the paradigm divide between positivistic and post-positivistic methodologies, the study offers a broader meaning to MAS sophistication, as an aggregate of contingency and institutional forces. Thus, it supports the use of multiple theories to explain the phenomenon under study. This is in addition to shedding light on the current state of MAS sophistication in listed companies engaged in manufacturing in Sri Lanka. Finally, the study introduces a sophistication index for use by managers to ascertain the degree of sophistication and adequacy of their MASs as they strive to attain higher levels of organizational performance.

Table of Contents

<u>Content</u>	<u>Page/s</u>
List of Chapters and Appendices-----	iii - vi
List of Tables-----	vii
List of Figures-----	viii
List of Abbreviations -----	ix
Declaration -----	x
Approval of the Supervisors -----	xi
Dedication -----	xii
Acknowledgments-----	xiii
Abstract -----	xiv

List of Chapters and Appendices

1	THE DRIVE FOR MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING SYSTEM (MAS) SOPHISTICATION VS ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICE -----	1
1.1	Background -----	1
1.2	The problem -----	2
1.3	Manifestations of the problem -----	3
1.4	Purpose of the study -----	7
1.5	Research questions and objectives-----	8
1.6	Significance of the study-----	10
1.7	Methodology-----	12
1.8	Scope of the study-----	12
1.9	Limitations of the study -----	13
1.10	Chapter framework -----	14
2	MAS SOPHISTICATION: FUNDAMENTAL CONSTRUCTSAND REVIEW OF CONTINGENCY ANALYSIS -----	16
2.1	Introduction -----	16
2.2	Fundamental Constructs -----	16
2.2.1	Management Accounting Systems (MASS) -----	16
2.2.2	MAS sophistication -----	18
2.2.3	MAS performance-----	24
2.2.4	MAS-Contingency Theory-based research: The beginnings-----	25
2.3	Contingency analysis -----	34
2.3.1	An overview-----	34
2.3.2	MAS Sophistication based on information characteristics -----	35

2.3.3	MAS Sophistication based on MAPs-----	45
2.3.4	A critique of previous work-----	56
2.4	Summary -----	61
3	THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING IN SRI LANKA -----	65
3.1	Introduction -----	65
3.2	MASs and the evolution of MA-----	65
3.3	Origins and growth of modern MA--An international perspective-----	65
3.4	Dissemination of MA in Sri Lanka-----	72
3.4.1	Days of ancient kings – Pre-colonial regime -----	72
3.5	The three phases of socio-political development-----	74
3.5.1	Regime of colonial despotism -----	75
3.5.2	Regime of state capitalism -----	81
3.5.3	Regime of market capitalism -----	85
3.6	Inferences and the emerging gap -----	93
3.7	Summary -----	94
4	A CONTINGENCY CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY	97
4.1	Introduction -----	97
4.2	Development of the Conceptual Framework-----	97
4.3	Hypotheses -----	101
4.4	Concepts, variables and measures -----	102
4.5	Level/ Degree of MAS sophistication-----	104
4.5.1	Evolution of MAPs and MAS sophistication -----	104
4.5.2	Determining MAS sophistication -----	105

4.5.3	Degree of MAS sophistication - A Sophistication Index (SI)	107
4.6	Methodology: The empirical site and methodological aspects	109
4.6.1	The field survey	109
4.7	Summary	117
5	DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	119
5.1	Introduction	119
5.2	Classifying companies into MAS sophistication levels - Cluster Analysis method	119
5.3	Testing of hypotheses – Kruskal-Wallis test	122
5.4	Further analysis: Use of the MAS Sophistication Index (MAS SI)	124
5.5	MAS SIs of listed companies engaged in manufacturing	125
5.6	A test of compatibility of results: SIs vs. Cluster Analysis method	127
5.7	Testing of hypotheses: Correlation/ Multiple regression method	129
5.8	Conclusions	130
5.9	In defense of the Mixed Method approach	132
5.10	Summary	134
6	AN INSTITUTIONAL CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY	135
6.1	Introduction	135
6.2	Towards an Institutional Conceptual Framework	135
6.2.1	Limitations of the Contingency Theory framework	135
6.2.2	Institutional Theory as an alternative theoretical perspective	137
6.2.3	Institutions, Institutional Theory and key concepts	138
6.2.4	Types of Institutional Theory	140
6.2.5	Organizational isomorphism	143

6.2.6	Organizational field-----	143
6.2.7	The mechanics of isomorphic change -----	145
6.2.8	Isomorphism and organizational efficiency -----	148
6.2.9	A multi-level model of institutionalization -----	148
6.2.10	An institutional conceptual framework for analysis-----	150
6.3	Methodology-----	151
6.3.1	Preparatory phase -----	151
6.3.2	Conduct of in-depth interviews phase-----	153
6.3.3	Data analysis phase -----	154
6.4	Assumptions/ limitations associated with the qualitative analysis-----	154
6.5	Summary -----	155
7	INSTITUTIONAL ISOMORPHISM AND MAS SOPHISTICATION-----	157
7.1	Introduction -----	157
7.2	MASs of ten listed companies: An organizational field level analysis-----	157
7.2.1	A primary level categorization -----	157
7.2.2	MAS sophistication, determinants and performance of companies-----	158
7.2.3	Observations: Organization of the MA function and MAS-----	184
7.2.4	MAS sophistication, determinants and performance-----	186
7.3	A macro-level analysis -----	188
7.3.1	The socio-political and cultural context of Sri Lanka-----	188
7.4	Inferences on MAS sophistication, determinants and performance -----	194
7.5	Assumptions/ limitations of the qualitative analysis-----	197
7.6	Summary -----	197

8 SUMMING UP: MAS SOPHISTICATION, DETERMINANTS AND PERFORMANCE ----- 198

8.1 Introduction ----- 198

8.2 Returning to the research questions ----- 198

8.3 MAS sophistication, determinants and performance----- 199

8.4 Emerging propositions -----201

8.5 Peripheral contingencies and institutional forces-----201

8.6 Recommendations -----202

8.7 Contribution of the study -----204

8.7.1 Theoretical contribution-----204

8.7.2 Methodological contribution -----204

8.7.3 Practical contribution -----205

8.8 Directions for future research -----206

8.9 Wrapping up – An intellectual journey -----207

LIST OF REFERENCES-----210

APPENDIX I-----229

APPENDIX II-----283

APPENDIX III-----290